

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 23, 1999 LB 211

there should be some nonsmoking cabins and maybe some smoking cabins. We couldn't trust the Veterans Administration to figure out that there are certain floors that have their own ventilation systems that probably ought to be considered smoking, because you can't get elderly gentlemen out on the porch in the middle of December to smoke. Instead, we're going to do something like the Preister amendment that's going to include vehicles, something we haven't talked about; we're going to also designate "ten feet" away from the entrance, not nine, not eleven, we need this in law that it's ten feet. We also want to make sure that we have some exceptions, except, oddly enough, in line 7 it says "may be exempt from" this section, it isn't "shall be". Then the "may" means there is permission. And who is the permission that's going to exercise the "may" on line 7? I don't know. I'm going to vote for the Beutler amendment, because it puts 211 back to where it was. I would have voted for the Peterson amendment, because I think it's appropriate to say, let parties get together and set reasonable rules. I am uncomfortable with this notion that says we will set, on Select File, without public hearing, rules for people in which, even as we sit here and think about, we find holes in the language that doesn't cover the situation. It's why we consult the public. It's why we ask them to come down here and help us do this business together. I'm going to vote against the Preister amendment. I'm going to vote for the Beutler amendment. If the Peterson amendment was offered, it at least allows for some kind of discretionary discussion, allowing adults to decide for themselves, in conference with each other, what kind of rules they can communally live with, as opposed to dropping on our shoulders the obligation to make all these decisions for all these people ourselves. We will be back to this section again, making more exceptions, changing from ten to fifteen the feet, identifying who's supposed to exercise this discretion over time, because we assume too much responsibility for living people's lives for them and using the rule of law to replace reasoned conversation, forums in which people get together and solve problems. I don't know about you, but I have constituents who call me up and ask for laws to make their neighbors stop doing what their neighbors are doing. I ask them, have you talked to your neighbor? They say, no, I'm calling you. What's the first...what's the first thing that they do? I want a law to stop somebody from doing something; I